

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,826	IHLENFELDT ET AL	

Examiner	Art Unit	
BJ Forman	1634	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) BJ Forman. (3) _____.

(2) Marily Amick. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2005 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15, 25 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Mullis, U.S. Patent No. 4,965,188

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms Amick to discuss amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance. The Mullis reference teaches NTP concentration of 1.5mmol/l and the claims, as submitted, recite concentration of "about" 2 to 200mmol/l. The examiner stated that deleting "about" would overcome the teaching of Mullis. Ms. Amick agreed to the amendments. .



BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER